

## **AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

The attached sheet of drawings includes new FIG. 2 and is in addition to the original sheet including FIG. 1.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

## REMARKS

Claims 1-11 were presented for examination and were pending in this application. On October 26, 2005, Applicant appealed from a final rejection of claims 1-11. Before resolution of the appeal and following an examiner-initiated interview conducted on December 6, 2005, Applicant submits this amendment with a Request for Continued Examination pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.114.

With this amendment, claims 1 and 7 are amended. The drawings and specification have also been amended as described below. On the basis of the following remarks, consideration of this application and allowance of all pending claims are requested.

In the December 6 interview, Applicant's representative discussed the pending final rejection with the examiner. Applicant's representative submitted proposed claim amendments to address the examiner's arguments, and the examiner agreed that the proposed amendments would overcome the pending rejection and make the claims patentable over the art of record.<sup>1</sup> These proposed amendments are being made in this amendment.

Moreover, the examiner suggested that the Applicant amend the drawings to show a card installed in the system, consistent with the claimed subject matter. During the interview, Applicant's representative agreed to amend the drawings — and specification where necessary for consistency with the drawings — in this way. Accordingly, new FIG. 2 is added to show an assembled view of the same system shown exploded in FIG. 1 (where the dashed lines in FIG. 1 illustrate the assembly of the parts). These structural features and the installation of a card are

---

<sup>1</sup> Although the Interview Summary suggests that the claims are "substantially" amended, it is noted that the claims should be interpreted in accordance with the actual amendments made rather than characterizations of these amendments in this or in any other documents. The present amendments are being made merely to describe the claimed bottom surface of the chassis in a manner consistent with the claimed feature of securing a card.


disclosed in the specification, e.g., at ¶¶ 6, 9, 10, 12, and 13, so these amendments do not add new matter.

Lastly, the specification has been amended to reference the new FIG. 2 and to add a reference numeral for the lower end (32) of the bracket (3). These amendments therefore do not add new matter.

Based on the foregoing, the application is in condition for allowance of all claims, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. If the examiner believes for any reason direct contact would help advance the prosecution of this case to allowance, the examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,  
YI-LUNG KUO

Dated: January 5, 2006

By: 

Robert A. Hulse, Reg. No. 48,473  
Attorney for Applicant  
Fenwick & West LLP  
801 California Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
Tel.: (415) 875-2444  
Fax: (415) 281-1350